

Note: Slides complement the discussion in class



Open Addressing Store items directly in the table

Table of Contents





. . .

Store items directly in the table

4

•••



Remember: Collisions

. . .

Let k_1 and k_2 be two different keys. There is a collision in the hash table if $h(k_1) = h(k_2)$.

Ideal: Design a collision-free hash function.

Reality: Hard to design a h(k) that creates random slot indices from non-random keys. **Assume collisions** will occur.

Solution: Implement collision management strategies.



Chaining

01

Multiple items in a slot? Store them in a Doubly Linked List.

Open Addressing

02

Is the slot occupied? Search for the next one available.





Open Addressing Idea

0 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 10 ()(()() \bigcirc () \bigcirc

Idea: Search the hash table for an empty slot.

How?

- Try $h(k) \mod m$.
- Taken? Then try $(h(k) + 1) \mod m$.
- Taken? Then try $(h(k) + 2) \mod m$.
- Repeat until you find an empty slot.



13 mod 11 = 2, it is taken. 14 mod 11 = 3, it is taken. 15 mod 11 = 4. It is available.



Open Addressing Idea

0 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 10 ()()() $\left(\right)$ () \bigcirc

Idea: Search the hash table for an empty slot.

How?

- Try $h(k) \mod m$.
- Taken? Then try $(h(k) + 1) \mod m$.
- Taken? Then try $(h(k) + 2) \mod m$.
- Repeat until you find an empty slot.



13 mod 11 = 2, it is taken. 14 mod 11 = 3, it is taken. 15 mod 11 = 4. It is available. **Solved!**



Open Addressing: Probing

 $h{:}\,U\times\{0,1,\ldots m-1\}\to\{0,1,\ldots,m-1\}$

Probe sequence: h(k, 0), h(k, 1), ..., h(k, m - 1)

Linear Probing: $h(k,i) = (h'(k) + i) \mod m$

Quadratic Probing: $h(k,i) = (h'(k) + c_1i + c_2i^2) \mod m$

Double Hashing: $h(k,i) = (h_1(k) + ih_2(k)) \mod m$





Example: Quadratic Probing



Idea: Search the hash table for an empty slot.

How?

- Try $h(k) \mod m$.
- Taken? Then try $(h(k) + 1^2) \mod m$.
- Taken? Then try $(h(k) + 2^2) \mod m$.
- Repeat until you find an empty slot.



13 mod 11 = 2, it is taken. 14 mod 11 = 3, it is taken. 17 mod 11 = 6. It is available.



Example: Quadratic Probing



Idea: Search the hash table for an empty slot.

How?

- Try $h(k) \mod m$.
- Taken? Then try $(h(k) + 1^2) \mod m$.
- Taken? Then try $(h(k) + 2^2) \mod m$.
- Repeat until you find an empty slot.



13 mod 11 = 2, it is taken. 14 mod 11 = 3, it is taken. 17 mod 11 = 6. It is available. **Solved!**



Open Addressing Algorithms



algorithm Insert(T:array, x:item) let m be the capacity of T i ← 0

```
repeat
```

```
j \leftarrow h(x.key, i)
```

```
if T[j] is null then
      T[j] ← x
      return j
   end if
   i ← i + 1
until i = m
```

end algorithm error: "table overflow"

```
algorithm Search(T:array, key:ℤ)
let m be the capacity of T
i ← 0
```

```
repeat
```

```
j ← h(key, i)
```

```
if T[j] is not null and T[j].key = key then
   return j
end if
i ← i + 1
```

```
until T[j] is null or i = m
```

return -1 end algorithm

```
algorithm Delete(T:array, x:item)
j \leftarrow Search(T, x.key)
```

```
if j ≠ -1 then
   T[j] \leftarrow null
end if
```

```
end algorithm
```

That's it?

```
An item x has attributes x.key (integer), and x.data.
```



. . .

Delete when using Open Addressing

Do not delete! Do Something else.









 $25 \mod 11 = 3$, and the key of T[3] is 25, so, delete!



Why Not? Let's Delete Something





 $13 \mod 11 = 2$, but the key of T[2] is 2. 14 mod 11 = 3, but T[3] is null. So...

in the hash table?! **IT IS!** But we cannot reach it now.

Solutions: 1) Label item as deleted. 2) Use a dummy object (aka. Tombstone).

Remember to update the Insert, Search, and Delete functions adequately.





Issue: Clustering!

Occupying empty slots breaks uniformity





Issue: Clustering





Also, longer search times if falling on long clusters. **Goal:** Adjust m such that $\alpha = \frac{n}{m}$ is less than some threshold.



Open Addressing: Analysis

. . .

Load Factor: $\alpha = \frac{n}{m} < 1$.

- Uniform Hashing Assumption: the probe sequence of each key is equally likely to be any of the *m*! permutations of {0,1, ..., *m* 1}.
- An insert requires at most $1/(1 \alpha)$ probes.
- A successful search requires at most $\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln(1/(1-\alpha))$ probes.
- An unsuccessful search requires at most $1/(1-\alpha)$ expected probes.

Cormen, Thomas H.; Leiserson, Charles E.; Rivest, Ronald L.; Stein, Clifford. Introduction to Algorithms (The MIT Press) (p. 298-299). The MIT Press.



Note: Do not forget to rehash the values after resizing the table.

. . .





(5, dolor) | | | (3, sit) | (4, amet) | Load factor: 3/5 = 0.6. Resize required. New table capacity set to 11. Tuple (5, dolor) is now at index 5. Tuple (3, sit) is now at index 3. Tuple (4, amet) is now at index 4. 5 6 7 8 0 1 2 3 4 9 10 | (3, sit) | (4, amet) | (5, dolor) | | |

Rehashing Example

3

4

1 2



Hash Table Limitations

Range Queries

Previous(k)? Next(k)? Between(k₁,k₂)? MaxKey()? MinKey()?

Memory Consumption

We need extra capacity than the number of keys we have.

Real Data

Keys may not be independent. There could be bias.

h(last slide) = End

Do you have any questions?

CREDITS: This presentation template was created by Slidesgo, including icons by Flaticon, infographics & images by Freepik and illustrations by Stories